

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 2863		
10/015,764	12/17/2001	Sergio Zambelli	35424/GM/1p			
75	90 06/13/2003					
MODIANO & ASSOCIATI Via Meravigli, 16 20123 MILANO,			EXAMINER			
			NGUYEN, CHI Q			
ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3635			
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i ij		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
0.55	0	10/015,764		ZAMBELLI ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-			
		Chi Q Nguyen		3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or ex	THIS COMMUNICATION. The under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: The ailing date of this communication. The is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bove, the maximum statutory period we tended period for reply will, by statute the than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min will apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on <u>17 L</u>	<u>December 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINA	L. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	nonding in the application							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) 7-16 is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	es of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.					
	es of the priority document			on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is m			•		application).			
,—	of the foreign language pro	visional application	on has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)		•	30					
Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Notice Noti	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) . 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 2				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,764

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 6, the limitation recites as "having any of a head and axial shoulder..." is confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eriksson (US 3,705,469).

Eriksson teaches anchor insert and setting cone for a pre-cast concrete body comprising a tubular body 40, lifting device 22, a resilient elastomeric foam rubber or plastic filler piece 64 is adapted to be inserted into the slot beneath the lift rod 22 in order to exclude the entrance of concrete into the slot at the time the wet concrete is poured into the concrete forms (col. 4, lines 57-62), expansion means 50 comprises two

Application/Control Number: 10/015,764

Art Unit: 3635

axial grooves or abutments for the rubber piece 64 interposed there between, traction means 44 comprises a screw 44 (figs. 1-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eriksson (US 3,705,469).

Eriksson teaches anchor insert and setting cone for a pre-cast concrete body comprising a tubular body 40, lifting device 22, a resilient elastomeric foam rubber or plastic filler piece 64 is adapted to be inserted into the slot beneath the lift rod 22 in order to exclude the entrance of concrete into the slot at the time the wet concrete is poured into the concrete forms (col. 4, lines 57-62), expansion means 50 comprises two axial grooves or abutments for the rubber piece 64 interposed there between, traction means 44 comprises a screw 44 is engaging a threaded hole 42 (figs. 1-5). The screw 44 having a head 269, an axial shoulder 263 (figs. 10-11).

Eriksson does not teach expressly the abutments are formed by a first plate, a second plate, where the elastically deformable element interposed between. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the groove having two opposed walls serve to interpose the elastically deformable element for radial expansion when the traction control such screw rotating forward to compress

Application/Control Number: 10/015,764

Art Unit: 3635

it. Examiner takes Official Notice the fact that the groove for interposing the elastically deformable element in between having two separated walls is obvious functional equivalent as two plates of the abutments.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7-16 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed combination including a shaft is supported a sealing means, which having an elastically flexible disk as specifically set forth in the claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Annable (US 3,873,147), Tye (US 4,179,151), Wilner (US 4,068,878), Krawczyk (US 6,353,971) teach anchor structural elements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Monday-Thursday (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

CQN

6/9/03

Carl D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600